

QUASIPRIMITIVE GROUPS CONTAINING A TRANSITIVE ALTERNATING GROUP

BINZHOU XIA

ABSTRACT. This paper classifies quasiprimitive permutation groups with a transitive subgroup which is isomorphic to A_n for some $n \geq 5$.

MSC2010: 20B15, 20D06, 20D40

Keywords: Quasiprimitive groups; alternating groups; group factorizations

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all groups are assumed to be finite. For a group G , the expression $G = HK$ with H, K proper subgroups of G is called a *factorization* of G , and H, K are called its *factors*. As a generalization of simple groups, we call a group *almost simple* if its socle is nonabelian simple. There has been a lot of work on factorizations of almost simple groups. In 1987, Hering, Liebeck and Saxl [9] classified factorizations of exceptional groups of Lie type. A factorization $G = HK$ is called a *maximal factorization* of G if both H, K are maximal subgroups of G . Later in 1990, Liebeck, Praeger and Saxl published the landmark work [12] classifying maximal factorizations of almost simple groups. When the socle is an alternating group, in fact all the factorizations of such a group were determined in [12, THEOREM D]. Based on the maximal factorizations in [12, THEOREM C], Giudici [7] in 2006 determined the factorizations of sporadic groups. A factorization is said to be *exact* if the intersection of the two factors is trivial. Recently, all exact factorizations of almost simple groups for which one factor is a maximal subgroup have been determined [13] by Liebeck, Praeger and Saxl.

Our first result classifies factorizations of finite simple groups with an alternating group factor.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $L = HK$ is a factorization of the finite simple group L with $H \cong A_n$, where $n \geq 5$. Then one of the following holds.*

- (a) $L = A_{n+k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 5$, and K is k -transitive on $n + k$ points.
- (b) $L = A_m$ and $K = A_{m-1}$, where m is the index of a subgroup in A_n .
- (c) (L, n, K) lies in Table 1.

Remark. For each pair (L, K) as described in part (a) or (b) of Theorem 1.1, there holds $L = HK$ with $H \cong A_n$. Moreover, it is verified by MAGMA [3] that each triple (L, n, K) in Table 1 gives rise to a factorization $L = HK$ with $H \cong A_n$. The factorization $L = HK$ in row 25 of Table 1 with $(L, H, K) = (\Omega_8^+(2), A_9, S_8)$ is missed in the main theorem of [5].

By Lemma 2.2 in Section 2, one easily derives the following corollary from Theorem 1.1.

TABLE 1.

row	L	n	K
1	A_6	5	A_4, S_4
2	A_{10}	6	A_8, S_8
3	A_{15}	7	A_{13}, S_{13}
4	M_{12}	5	M_{11}
5	$PSL_2(11)$	5	$11, 11:5$
6	$PSL_2(19)$	5	$19:9$
7	$PSL_2(29)$	5	$29:7, 29:14$
8	$PSL_2(59)$	5	$59:29$
9	$PSL_4(3)$	6	$3^3:PSL_3(3)$
10	$PSU_3(5)$	7	$5_+^{1+2}:8$
11	$PSp_4(3)$	6	$3_+^{1+2}:Q_8, 3_+^{1+2}:2.A_4$
12	$Sp_6(2)$	6	$PSU_3(3):2$
13	$Sp_6(2)$	7	$PSU_3(3):2$
14	$Sp_6(2)$	8	$3_+^{1+2}:8:2, 3_+^{1+2}:2.S_4, PSL_2(8),$ $PSL_2(8):3, PSU_3(3):2, PSU_4(2):2$
15	$Sp_8(2)$	6	$SO_8^-(2)$
16	$Sp_8(2)$	7	$SO_8^-(2)$
17	$Sp_8(2)$	8	$SO_8^-(2)$
18	$Sp_8(2)$	9	$SO_8^-(2)$
19	$Sp_8(2)$	10	$SO_8^-(2)$
20	$\Omega_7(3)$	8	$3^{3+3}:PSL_3(3)$
21	$\Omega_7(3)$	9	$3^3:PSL_3(3), 3^{3+3}:PSL_3(3)$ $PSL_4(3), PSL_4(3):2, G_2(3)$
22	$\Omega_8^+(2)$	6	$Sp_6(2)$
23	$\Omega_8^+(2)$	7	$Sp_6(2)$
24	$\Omega_8^+(2)$	8	$Sp_6(2), PSU_4(2), PSU_4(2):2,$ $3 \times PSU_4(2), (3 \times PSU_4(2)):2, A_9$
25	$\Omega_8^+(2)$	9	$2^4:15.4, 2^6:15, 2^6:15.2, 2^6:15.4, PSU_4(2),$ $PSU_4(2):2, 3 \times PSU_4(2), (3 \times PSU_4(2)):2,$ $Sp_6(2), A_8, S_8$ or $2^4:A_5 \leq K \leq 2^6:A_8$
26	$P\Omega_8^+(3)$	8	$3^6:PSL_4(3)$
27	$P\Omega_8^+(3)$	9	$\Omega_7(3), 3^6:PSL_4(3)$
28	$\Omega_{10}^-(2)$	12	$2^8:\Omega_8^-(2)$

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose that H and K are subgroups of a finite group G with $G = HK$ and $H \cong A_n$, where $n \geq 5$. Then there exists a composition factor L of G such that one of the following holds.*

- (a) $L = A_{n+k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 5$.
- (b) $L = A_m$, where m is the index of a subgroup in A_n .
- (c) (L, n) lies in Table 1.

In [13] all primitive groups having a regular subgroup which is almost simple are classified. This has a surprising consequence regarding Cayley graphs of simple groups: if a Cayley graph of some simple group is vertex primitive, then either

the Cayley graph is a complete graph or a graph associated with the action of the alternating groups on 2-subsets, or the connecting set of the Cayley graph consists of several full conjugacy classes. Inspired by the result of [13], one might expect a classification of for primitive groups having a transitive subgroup which is almost simple. Although a complete classification seems to be fairly difficult, it is possible to achieve such a goal for certain families of almost simple groups, and the present paper will tackle this for alternating groups. In fact, our main result, Theorem 1.3 below, classifies quasiprimitive groups containing a transitive alternating group. Here a permutation group G is called *quasiprimitive* if every nontrivial normal subgroup of G is transitive. The notion of quasiprimitive groups is a generalization of primitive groups, which arises naturally for instance in the analysis of 2-arc transitive graphs, see [14]. As a result, Theorem 1.3 will be crucial in classifying 2-arc transitive graphs admitting a vertex transitive alternating group, especially, 2-arc-transitive Cayley graph of alternating groups.

For a group T , denote by $D(2, T)$ the permutation group on T generated by the holomorph of T and the involution $x \mapsto x^{-1}$, $x \in T$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a quasiprimitive permutation group on Ω and $\alpha \in \Omega$. If G contains a transitive subgroup H such that $H \cong A_n$ for some $n \geq 5$, then one of the following holds.*

- (a) G is almost simple with socle L , say, and $H \leq L$. Furthermore, either $L = A_n$, or the factorization $L = HL_\alpha$ is described in Theorem 1.1.
- (b) $G \leq D(2, A_n)$ is primitive with socle $A_n \times A_n$, and H is regular.
- (c) $n = 6$, G is primitive with socle $A_6 \times A_6$, and $G \leq S_6 \wr S_2$ by the product action on 6^2 points.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3. Then in Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3 based on the result of Theorem 1.1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The notation in this paper is quite standard: it follows [4] except for some classical simple groups. We will need the detailed information, such as the maximal subgroups, of some finite simple groups, for which the reader is also referred to [4]. Hereafter, all the groups are assumed to be finite.

We first give several equivalent conditions for a group factorization.

Lemma 2.1. *Let H, K be subgroups of G . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) $G = HK$.
- (b) $G = H^x K^y$ for any $x, y \in G$.
- (c) $|H \cap K||G| = |H||K|$.
- (d) $|G| \leq |H||K|/|H \cap K|$.
- (e) H acts transitively on $[G:K]$ by right multiplication.
- (f) K acts transitively on $[G:H]$ by right multiplication.

The above lemma is easy to prove while playing a fundamental role in the study of group factorizations: due to part (b) we will consider conjugacy classes of subgroups when studying factorizations of a group; given a group G and its subgroups H, K , in order to inspect whether $G = HK$ holds we only need to compute the orders of

G , H , K and $H \cap K$ by part (c) or (d), which enables us to search factorizations of a group rather quickly in MAGMA [3], for example.

The next lemma, applied together with Jordan-Hölder Theorem, shows that it is crucial to study factorizations of simple groups to study factorizations of a general group with a simple factor.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that H, K are subgroup of G such that $G = HK$ and H is simple. Then for any normal subgroup N of G , either $N = H(K \cap N)$ or $G/N = \overline{H}\overline{K}$ for some $\overline{H} \cong H$ and $\overline{K} \cong K/(K \cap N)$.*

Proof. As N is normal in G and H is simple, we have $H \cap N = 1$ or H . First assume that $H \cap N = 1$. Let $\overline{H} = HN/N$ and $\overline{K} = KN/N$. Then $\overline{H} \cong H$ and $\overline{K} \cong K/(K \cap N)$. Next assume that $H \cap N = H$. Then combination of the equality $G = HK$ and condition $H \leq N$ deduces that $N = H(K \cap N)$. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Lemma 3.1. *If L is a non-classical simple group, then Theorem 1.1 holds.*

Proof. Let L be a non-classical simple group satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.1. Consulting the classification of factorizations of exceptional groups of Lie type [9], one sees that L is not an exceptional group of Lie type. If L is a sporadic simple group, then (L, n, K) lies in row 4 of Table 1 by [7]. Next assume that $L = A_m$ acting naturally on a set Ω of m points. Then $m \geq 6$, and according to Theorem D and Remark 2 after it in [12] one of the following cases appears.

- (i) $A_{m-k} \leq H \leq S_{m-k} \times S_k$ and K is k -homogeneous on Ω for some $1 \leq k \leq 5$.
- (ii) H is k -homogeneous on Ω and $A_{m-k} \leq K \leq S_{m-k} \times S_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq 5$.
- (iii) $n = 6$, $H = \text{PSL}_2(5)$ and $K \leq S_3 \wr S_2$ with H, K both transitive on Ω .

Note that case (iii) is described in part (a) of Theorem 1.1 with $n = 5$ and $k = 1$.

Assume that case (i) appears. Then $H = A_{m-k}$ and $m = n + k$. Since H is the stabilizer of a k -tuple over Ω , it follows that K is k -transitive on Ω , as part (a) of Theorem 1.1.

Next assume that case (ii) appears. If $k = 1$ then $K = A_{m-1}$ and H is transitive on Ω , which leads to part (b) of Theorem 1.1. Thus assume $k \geq 2$. Then [10] shows that H is k -transitive on Ω , and inspecting the classification of 2-transitive permutation groups we conclude that $k = 2$ and $(m, n) = (6, 5), (10, 6)$ or $(15, 7)$. Consequently,

$$A_{m-2} \leq K \leq (S_{m-2} \times S_2) \cap A_m = S_{m-2},$$

and so (L, n, K) lies in one of rows 1–3 of Table 1. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *If $H \cong A_5$, then Theorem 1.1 holds.*

Proof. Let M be a maximal subgroup of L containing K . It derives from the factorization $L = HK$ that $L = HM$, and so L acts primitively on the set $[L:M]$ of right cosets of M in L . By Lemma 2.1, $|L|/|M|$ divides $|H| = 60$. Hence L is a primitive permutation group of degree dividing 60, and thus lies in [6, Appendix B]. Due to Lemma 3.1 we may assume that L is a classical group, whence $L = \text{PSL}_2(p)$ with $p \in \{11, 19, 29, 59\}$ and $M = C_p:C_{(p-1)/2}$.

If $p = 11$, then $|K|$ is divisible by $|L|/|H| = 11$ and so $K = C_{11}$ or $C_{11}:C_5$ as in row 5 of Table 1. Similarly, the cases $p = 29$ and $p = 59$ lead to rows 7 and 8

of Table 1. Now assume that $p = 19$. Then $|K|$ is divisible by $|L|/|H| = 19 \cdot 3$. Since $\text{PSL}_2(19)$ has only one conjugacy class of subgroups of order 3, we exclude by Lemma 2.1 the possibility for $K = C_{19}:C_3$. Consequently, $K = C_{19}:C_9$ as in row 6 of Table 1. $\square$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we analyze its minimal counterexample in the rest of this section. To be precise, make the hypothesis as follows.

Hypothesis 3.3. Suppose that (L, H, K) is a counterexample to Theorem 1.1 with minimal $|L|$. Let A and B be maximal subgroups of L containing H and K , respectively.

We remark that, under Hypothesis 3.3, L is a classical simple group not isomorphic to A_5 , A_6 or A_8 due to Lemma 3.1, and interchanging A and B if necessary, the triple (L, A, B) lies in Tables 1–4 of [12].

Lemma 3.4. *Under Hypothesis 3.3, A has exactly one nonabelian composition factor.*

Proof. Apparently, A has at least one nonabelian composition factor. Suppose for a contradiction that A has at least two nonabelian composition factors. Then inspecting Tables 1–4 of [12], we obtain Table 2 for candidates of (L, A, B) , where $a \leq 2$ and N_1 is the stabilizer of a non-isotropic 1-dimensional subspace.

TABLE 2.

row	L	A	B
1	$\text{Sp}_{4\ell}(2^f)$, $f\ell \geq 2$	$\text{Sp}_{2\ell}(2^f) \wr S_2$	$\text{SO}_{4\ell}^-(2^f)$
2	$\text{Sp}_4(2^f)$, $f \geq 2$	$\text{SO}_4^+(2^f)$	$\text{Sp}_2(4^f).2$
3	$\text{Sp}_4(2^f)$, $f \geq 3$ odd	$\text{SO}_4^+(2^f)$	$\text{Sz}(2^f)$
4	$\text{Sp}_6(2^f)$, $f \geq 2$	$\text{Sp}_2(2^f) \times \text{Sp}_4(2^f)$	$\text{G}_2(2^f)$
5	$\text{P}\Omega_{4\ell}^+(q)$, $\ell \geq 3$, $q \geq 4$	$(\text{PSp}_2(q) \times \text{PSp}_{2\ell}(q)).a$	N_1
6	$\text{P}\Omega_8^+(q)$, $q \geq 5$ odd	$(\text{PSp}_2(q) \times \text{PSp}_4(q)).2$	$\Omega_7(q)$
7	$\Omega_8^+(2)$	$(\text{SL}_2(4) \times \text{SL}_2(4)).2^2$	$\text{Sp}_6(2)$

From the inclusion $H \leq A$ and factorization $L = AB$ we deduce that $A = H(K \cap B)$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a nonabelian composition factor L_1 of A such that $L_1 = H_1 K_1$ for some subgroups H_1 and K_1 of L_1 with $H_1 \cong H$. Since $|L_1| \leq |A| < |L|$, Hypothesis 3.3 implies that either $H_1 = L_1$ or the triple (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. This yields the following statements.

- (a) If (L, A, B) lies in row 1 of Table 2, then $(\ell, f) = (1, 2)$ and $H \cong H_1 = L_1 \cong A_5$.
- (b) If (L, A, B) lies in row 2 of Table 2, then $f = 2$ and $H \cong H_1 = L_1 \cong A_5$.
- (c) If (L, A, B) lies in row 5 of Table 2, then $q = 4$ or 5 and $H \cong H_1 = L_1 \cong A_5$.
- (d) If (L, A, B) lies in row 6 of Table 2, then $q = 5$ and $H \cong H_1 = L_1 \cong A_5$.
- (e) If (L, A, B) lies in row 7 of Table 2, then $H \cong H_1 = L_1 \cong A_5$.
- (f) (L, A, B) does not lie in rows 3 and 4 of Table 2.

Now as we always have $H \cong A_5$, the triple satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 by Lemma 3.2, violating Hypothesis 3.3. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Under Hypothesis 3.3, the unique nonabelian composition factor of A is not an alternating group.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that the nonabelian composition factor of A is an alternating group, say A_m , where $m \geq 5$. Then in view of the isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{PSL}_2(4) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(5) \cong A_5, \quad \mathrm{PSL}_2(9) \cong A_6, \quad \mathrm{PSL}_4(2) \cong A_8 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Sp}_4(2) \cong S_6,$$

an inspection of Tables 1–4 of [12] shows that (L, A, B) lies in Table 3.

TABLE 3.

row	L	A	B
1	$\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$	$(4 \times A_6):2$	$3^3:\mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$
2	$\mathrm{PSL}_3(5)$	$5^2:\mathrm{GL}_2(5)$	$31:3$
3	$\mathrm{PSL}_3(9)$	$3^4:\mathrm{GL}_2(9)$	$91:3$
4	$\mathrm{PSL}_5(2)$	$2^4:A_8$	$31:5$
5	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$	A_5	$11:5$
6	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(19)$	A_5	$19:9$
7	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(29)$	A_5	$29:14$
8	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(59)$	A_5	$59:29$
9	$\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$	S_6	$3_+^{1+2}:2.A_4$
10	$\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$	$2^4:A_5$	$3_+^{1+2}:2.A_4, 3^3:S_4$
11	$\mathrm{Sp}_4(4)$	$2^6:(3 \times A_5)$	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(16):2$
12	$\mathrm{PSp}_4(5)$	$5_+^{1+2}:4.A_5$	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(25):2$
13	$\mathrm{PSp}_4(9)$	$3^{2+4}:8.A_6$	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(81):2$
14	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	$2^5:S_6$	$\mathrm{SL}_2(8):3$
15	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	S_8	$\mathrm{SL}_2(8):3, \mathrm{PSU}_4(2):2$
16	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	$S_8, 2^5:S_6, S_3 \times S_6$	$\mathrm{PSU}_3(3):2$
17	$\mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$	S_{10}	$\mathrm{SO}_8^-(2)$
18	$\mathrm{PSU}_4(3)$	$3^4:A_6$	$\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$
19	$\mathrm{PSU}_3(5)$	A_7	$5_+^{1+2}:8$
20	$\Omega_7(3)$	S_9	$3^{3+3}:\mathrm{PSL}_3(3), \mathrm{PSL}_4(3):2, G_2(3)$
21	$\Omega_7(3)$	$2^6:A_7$	$G_2(3)$
22	$\Omega_{10}^-(2)$	A_{12}	$2^8:\Omega_8^-(2)$
23	$\Omega_8^+(2)$	$2^6:A_8$	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2), (3 \times \mathrm{PSU}_4(2)):2, A_9$
24	$\Omega_8^+(2)$	A_9	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2), 2^6:A_8, (3 \times \mathrm{PSU}_4(2)):2$

Notice that H is isomorphic to a subgroup of A_m and $H \not\cong A_5$ by Lemma 3.2, whence $6 \leq n \leq m$. Therefore, we only need to consider rows 1, 3, 4, 9 and 13–24 of Table 3. Since $|L|$ divides $|H||K|$ by Lemma 2.1, one deduces that $|L|/|B|$ divides $m!/2$, which excludes rows 3, 4, 13, 14, 18 and 21. We next analyze the remaining rows, i.e., rows 1, 9, 15–17, 19, 20 and 22–24.

Assume that row 1 of Table 3 appears. Then $m = 6$, and hence $n = 6$. It follows that $|K|$ is divisible by $|L|/|H| = |\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)|/|A_6| = 2^4 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 13$. Write $B = R:Q$ with $R = C_3^3$ and $Q = \mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$. Then $K \cap R \geq C_3$ as 3^4 does not divide $|Q|$, and KR/R is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$ with order divisible by $2^4 \cdot 13$, which forces $KR/R = \mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$. Since here $\mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$ acts irreducibly on C_3^3 , we conclude that $K = B = 3^3:\mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$, as in row 9 of Table 1.

Assume that row 9 of Table 3 appears. Then $n = m = 6$, and searching in MAGMA [3] for the factor corresponding K leads to row 11 of Table 1.

If (L, A, B) lies in row 15 or 16 of Table 3, then $6 \leq n \leq 8$. Setting $n = 6, 7, 8$, respectively, and searching in MAGMA [3] for the corresponding subgroup $K < \text{Sp}_6(2)$ satisfying $L = HK$ shows that one of rows 12–14 of Table 1 appears.

Assume that row 17 of Table 3 appears. In this case, $6 \leq n \leq 10$. However, one can quickly verify by MAGMA [3] that there is no factorization $L = HB$ with $(L, H, B) = (\text{Sp}_8(2), A_n, \text{SO}_8^-(2))$ for $6 \leq n \leq 9$. As a consequence, $n = 10$. Then searching in MAGMA [3] for the corresponding factor K leads to row 19 of Table 1.

Assume that row 19 of Table 3 appears. Then $n!/2 = |H|$ is divisible by $|L|/|B| = 126$. This in conjunction with the inequality $n \leq m = 7$ yields $n = 7$. Now searching in MAGMA [3] for the corresponding factor K one sees that (L, H, K) lies in row 10 of Table 1.

Let (L, A, B) be as described in row 20 of Table 3. Then $n \leq m = 9$, and $n!/2 = |H|$ is divisible by $|L|/|B|$. If $B = \text{PSL}_4(3):2$ or $\text{G}_2(3)$, then this forces $n = 9$, and searching in MAGMA [3] for the corresponding factor K leads to row 21 of Table 1. If $B = 3^{3+3}:\text{PSL}_3(3)$, then $n = 8$ or 9 , and searching in MAGMA [3] for the corresponding factor K leads to row 20 or 21 of Table 1.

Now consider row 22 of Table 3. In this case, $6 \leq n \leq 12$, and $n!/2 = |H|$ is divisible by $|L|/|B| = 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$. However, one can quickly verify by MAGMA [3] that there is no factorization $L = HB$ with $(L, H, B) = (\Omega_{10}^-(2), A_{11}, 2^8:\Omega_8^-(2))$. As a consequence, $n = 12$. Then searching in MAGMA [3] for the corresponding factor K leads to row 28 of Table 1.

Finally, assume that (L, A, B) lies in row 23 or 24 of Table 3. Then we have $6 \leq n \leq 9$. Setting $n = 6, 7, 8, 9$, respectively, and searching in MAGMA [3] for the corresponding subgroup $K < \Omega_8^+(2)$ satisfying $L = HK$ shows that one of rows 22–25 of Table 1 appears. $\square$

Now we are able to complete the proof for Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Suppose Hypothesis 3.3. Then A has a unique nonabelian composition factor L_1 by Lemma 3.4, and L_1 is not an alternating group according to Lemma 3.5. From the inclusion $H \leq A$ and factorization $L = AB$ we deduce that $A = H(K \cap B)$. Hence by Lemma 2.2, $L_1 = H_1K_1$ for some subgroups H_1 and K_1 of L_1 with $H_1 \cong H$. Since $|L_1| \leq |A| < |L|$, Hypothesis 3.3 implies that either $H_1 = L_1$ or the triple (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. Moreover, L_1 is not an alternating group, and by Lemma 3.2, $H_1 \not\cong A_5$. Then one sees from Table 1 that L_1 is one of the groups:

$$\text{PSL}_4(3), \text{PSU}_3(5), \text{PSp}_4(3), \text{Sp}_6(2), \text{Sp}_8(2), \Omega_7(3), \Omega_8^+(2), \text{P}\Omega_8^+(3), \Omega_{10}^-(2).$$

Inspecting Tables 1–4 of [12], we thereby deduce that (L, A, B) lies in Table 4, where $a \leq 2$.

To finish the proof, we analyze the rows of Table 4 in order.

Row 1. In this case, $L_1 = \text{PSL}_4(3)$. Then as (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, $H \cong H_1 \cong A_6$. However, $|L|$ is divisible by 13 while $|B|$ is not. We thereby deduce from the factorization $L = HB$ that $|H|$ is divisible by 13, a contradiction.

Row 2. Here $L_1 = \text{PSp}_4(3)$, and as (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, $H \cong H_1 \cong A_6$. It follows that $|K|$ is divisible by $|L|/|H| = |\text{PSL}_4(3)|/|A_6| =$

TABLE 4.

row	L	A	B
1	$\mathrm{PSL}_5(3)$	$3^4:\mathrm{GL}_4(3)$	$121:5$
2	$\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$	$\mathrm{PSp}_4(3):2$	$3^3:\mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$
3	$\mathrm{PSL}_6(2)$	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	$2^5:\mathrm{PSL}_5(2)$
4	$\mathrm{PSL}_8(2)$	$\mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$	$2^7:\mathrm{PSL}_7(2)$
5	$\mathrm{PSp}_6(3)$	$3^{1+4}_+:2.\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(27):3, \mathrm{PSL}_2(13)$
6	$\mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$	$2^7:\mathrm{Sp}_6(2), \mathrm{SO}_8^+(2), \mathrm{S}_3 \times \mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	$\mathrm{Sp}_4(4):2$
7	$\mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$	$\mathrm{SO}_8^+(2)$	$\mathrm{SO}_8^-(2), \mathrm{PSL}_2(17)$
8	$\mathrm{Sp}_{10}(2)$	$2^9:\mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$	$\mathrm{SL}_2(32):5$
9	$\mathrm{Sp}_{10}(2)$	$\mathrm{SO}_{10}^-(2)$	$\mathrm{SL}_2(32):5, 2^{15}:\mathrm{SL}_5(2), \mathrm{SO}_{10}^+(2)$
10	$\mathrm{PSU}_4(5)$	$\mathrm{SU}_3(5):3$	$5^4:\mathrm{PSL}_2(25).4, \mathrm{PSp}_4(5):2$
11	$\mathrm{PSU}_4(3)$	$\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$	$\mathrm{PSU}_3(3), \mathrm{PSL}_3(4)$
12	$\mathrm{PSU}_6(2)$	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	$\mathrm{PSU}_5(2)$
13	$\mathrm{PSU}_8(2)$	$\mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$	$\mathrm{GU}_7(2)$
14	$\Omega_9(3)$	$3^{6+4}:\mathrm{SL}_4(3)$	$\Omega_8^-(3).2$
15	$\Omega_7(3)$	$3^5:\mathrm{PSp}_4(3):2, \mathrm{PSL}_4(3):2,$ $(2^2 \times \mathrm{PSp}_4(3)):2, \mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	$\mathrm{G}_2(3)$
16	$\Omega_7(3)$	$\mathrm{PSL}_4(3):2$	S_9
17	$\mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(3)$	$\Omega_7(3)$	$\Omega_7(3), 2.\mathrm{PSU}_4(3).2^2, \Omega_8^+(2),$ $3^6:\mathrm{PSL}_4(3), (\mathrm{A}_4 \times \mathrm{PSp}_4(3)):2$
18	$\mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(3)$	$3^6:\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$	$\Omega_7(3), 2.\mathrm{PSU}_4(3).2^2, \Omega_8^+(2)$
19	$\mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(3)$	$(\mathrm{A}_4 \times \mathrm{PSp}_4(3)):2$	$\Omega_7(3)$
20	$\mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(3)$	$\Omega_8^+(2)$	$\Omega_7(3), 3^6:\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$
21	$\Omega_8^+(2)$	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	$\mathrm{A}_9, (\mathrm{A}_5 \times \mathrm{A}_5):2^2$
22	$\Omega_8^+(2)$	$(3 \times \mathrm{PSp}_4(3)):2$	A_9
23	$\Omega_{16}^+(2)$	$\mathrm{Sp}_8(2).a$	$\mathrm{Sp}_{14}(2)$

$2^4 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 13$. Write $B = R:Q$ with $R = \mathrm{C}_3^3$ and $Q = \mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$. Then $K \cap R \geq \mathrm{C}_3$ as 3^4 does not divide $|Q|$, and KR/R is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$ with order divisible by $2^4 \cdot 13$, which forces $KR/R = \mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$. Since here $\mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$ acts irreducibly on C_3^3 , we conclude that $K = B = 3^3:\mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$, as in row 11 of Table 1.

Row 3. As (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, where $L_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$, we have $6 \leq n \leq 8$. However, one can quickly verify by MAGMA [3] that there is no factorization $L = HB$ with $(L, H, B) = (\mathrm{PSL}_6(2), \mathrm{A}_n, 2^5:\mathrm{PSL}_5(2))$ for $6 \leq n \leq 8$.

Row 4. Here $L_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$, and since (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 we have $6 \leq n \leq 10$. However, $|L|$ is divisible by 17 while $|B|$ is not. We then deduce from the factorization $L = HB$ that $|H|$ is divisible by 17, a contradiction.

Row 5. In this case, $L_1 = \mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$. Then as (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, $H \cong H_1 \cong \mathrm{A}_6$. Since $|L|/|B|$ is divisible by 3^5 , we deduce from the factorization $L = HB$ that $|H|$ is divisible by 3^5 , a contradiction.

Rows 6 and 7. For these two rows, $L = \mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$ and $L_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$ or $\Omega_8^+(2)$. If $L_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$, then $B = \mathrm{Sp}_4(4):2$ and $6 \leq n \leq 8$ since (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. As $|L|/|B| = 2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$ does not divide $8!/2$, we thereby exclude the possibility for $L_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$. Consequently, $L_1 = \Omega_8^+(2)$, and so $6 \leq n \leq 9$ since (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. Now $|L|/|B|$ divides $|H|$ and

thus divides $9!/2$, which yields that $B = \mathrm{SO}_8^-(2)$. Setting $n = 6, 7, 8, 9$, respectively, and searching in MAGMA [3] for the corresponding subgroup $K < \mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$ satisfying $L = HK$ shows that one of rows 15–18 of Table 1 appears.

Rows 8 and 9. For these two rows, $L = \mathrm{Sp}_{10}(2)$ and $L_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$ or $\Omega_{10}^-(2)$. As (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, we have $n \leq 12$. If $B = \mathrm{SL}_2(32):5$ or $2^{15}:\mathrm{SL}_5(2)$, then 17 divides $|L|$ but not $|B|$, which yields a contradiction that 17 divides $|H| = n!/2$. Consequently, $B = \mathrm{SO}_{10}^+(2)$. It follows that $A = \mathrm{SO}_{10}^-(2)$, and $H \cong H_1 \cong A_{12}$ since (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. However, searching in MAGMA [3] shows that there is no factorization $L = HB$ with $(L, H, B) = (\mathrm{Sp}_{10}(2), A_{12}, \mathrm{SO}_{10}^+(2))$.

Row 10. In this case, $L_1 = \mathrm{PSU}_3(5)$. Then as (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, $H \cong H_1 \cong A_7$. However, $|L|/|B| = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$ or $3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$, not divisible by $7!/2$. Hence this case is not possible either.

Row 11. As (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, where $L_1 = \mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$, we have $H \cong H_1 \cong A_6$. However, 3^3 divides $|L|/|B|$ and thus divides $|H|$, which is a contradiction.

Row 12. As (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, where $L_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$, we have $6 \leq n \leq 8$. Since 2^5 divides $|L|/|B|$ and thus divides $|H| = n!/2$, it follows that $n = 8$. However, searching in MAGMA [3] shows that there is no factorization $L = HB$ with $(L, H, B) = (\mathrm{PSU}_6(2), A_8, \mathrm{PSU}_5(2))$.

Row 13. As (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, where $L_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$, we have $6 \leq n \leq 10$. However, $|L|$ is divisible by 17 while $|B|$ is not. We thereby deduce from the factorization $L = HB$ that $|H|$ is divisible by 17, a contradiction.

Row 14. Here $L_1 = \mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$, and as (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, $H \cong H_1 \cong A_6$. However, 3^4 divides $|L|/|B|$ and thus divides $|H|$, which is a contradiction.

Rows 15 and 16. For these two rows, $L = \Omega_7(3)$ and $L_1 = \mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$. Then $6 \leq n \leq 8$ since (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. However, 3^3 divides $|L|/|B|$ and thus divides $|H| = n!/2$, which is a contradiction.

Rows 17–20. For these four rows, $L = \mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(3)$ and $L_1 = \Omega_7(3)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$, $\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$ or $\Omega_8^+(2)$. As (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, $6 \leq n \leq 9$. Then checking the condition that $|L|/|B|$ divides $9!/2$ we obtain $B = \Omega_7(3)$ or $3^6:\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$. First assume that $B = \Omega_7(3)$. We then immediately have $n = 9$ because $|L|/|B|$ divides $|H| = n!/2$, and it follows that $|K|$ is divisible by $|L|/|H| = |\mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(3)|/|A_9| = 2^6 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$. This forces $K = B = \Omega_7(3)$, as in row 27 of Table 1. Next assume that $B = 2^6:\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$, and write $B = R:Q$ with $R = C_3^6$ and $Q = \mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$. Then $n = 8$ or 9 since $|L|/|B|$ divides $n!/2$, and $|K|$ is divisible by $|\mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(3)|/|A_9| = 2^6 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$. Noticing that 3^7 does not divide $|Q|$, we have $K \cap R \geq C_3$. Moreover, KR/R is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$ with order divisible by $2^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$, which forces $KR/R = \mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$. Since here $\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$ acts irreducibly on C_3^6 , we conclude that $K = B = 3^6:\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$, as in row 26 or 27 of Table 1.

Rows 21 and 22. For these two rows, $L = \mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(2)$ and $L_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$ or $\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$. As (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, we have $6 \leq n \leq 8$. It follows that $|L|/|B|$ divides $8!/2$, which yields $B = A_9$. This in turn gives $n = 8$ because $n!/2$ is divisible by $|L|/|B| = |\Omega_8^+(2)|/|A_9| = 2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$. Now $|K|$ is divisible

by $|L|/|H| = |\Omega_8^+(2)|/|A_8| = 2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5$, so we deduce that $K = B = A_9$, as in row 24 of Table 1.

Row 23. As (L_1, H_1, K_1) satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, where $L_1 = \text{Sp}_8(2)$, we have $6 \leq n \leq 10$. However, 17 divides $|L|/|B|$ and thus divides $|H|$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Quasiprimitive permutation groups are divided into eight categories, namely, HA, HS, HC, AS, SD, CD, TW and PA, see [15]. For the purpose of convenience, we include types HS and SD together as *diagonal type*.

Lemma 4.1. *Let p be prime, $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 5$. Then $\text{AGL}_k(p)$ acting naturally on p^k points does not have any transitive subgroup isomorphic to A_n .*

Proof. Let L be the socle of $\text{AGL}_k(p)$, and suppose that H is a transitive subgroup of $\text{AGL}_k(p)$ isomorphic to A_n . Then $L = C_p^k$, and $H \cap L = 1$ or H since H is simple. As H is unsolvable, $k \geq 2$ and $H \not\leq L$. It follows that $H \cap L = 1$, and thus $H \cong HL/L \leq \text{AGL}_k(p)/L \cong \text{GL}_k(p)$. Then by [11, Proposition 5.3.7] we have $k \geq n - 4$. Moreover, since H is transitive on p^k points, we have $n = p^k$ by [8]. Therefore, $k \leq p^k - 4$. As a consequence, $k \geq 2^k - 4$, forcing $k = 2$. This in turn gives $2 \geq p^2 - 4$. Hence $p = 2$ and $n = p^k = 4$, contrary to the assumption that $n \geq 5$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3: By Lemma 4.1, G has socle T^k for some nonabelian simple group T and integer $k \geq 1$. First assume that $k = 1$. Then $G/T \lesssim \text{Out}(T)$ is solvable, and so $H \cap T \neq 1$. Hence $H \cap T = H$, which means that H is a transitive subgroup of T . It follows that $T = HT_\alpha$ and thus part (a) of Theorem 1.3 holds.

Next assume that $k \geq 2$. Take a G -invariant partition $\mathcal{B}$ (may be trivial) of Ω such that G acts primitively on $\mathcal{B}$. Then G acts faithfully on $\mathcal{B}$ since G is quasiprimitive on Ω . Now $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a primitive permutation group isomorphic to G , and $H^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a quasiprimitive subgroup of $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ since H is simple and transitive on Ω . Appealing to [1] we deduce $k = 2$ and $H \cong T$. Therefore, G is either of diagonal type or type PA. If G is of diagonal type, then H is regular and G is primitive as $k = 2$, and so part (b) of Theorem 1.3 occurs according to [13]. Hence we assume that G is of type PA in the following.

Under the above assumption, there exists a group X isomorphic to G such that $X \leq R \wr S_2 = N.S_2$ with R an almost simple quasiprimitive permutation group on a set Δ , $N = R^2$ and R has socle T . Also, the isomorphic image Y of H into X is transitive on Δ^2 . Hence $Y \cong A_n$, and $Y \cap N = 1$ or Y . If $Y \cap N = 1$, then $Y \leq S_2$, a contradiction. Thus $Y \cap N = Y$, which means that $Y \leq N$. Write $N = R_1 \times R_2$ in the natural way with $R_1 \cong R_2 \cong R$. Then $Y \cap R_1 = Y \cap R_2 = 1$ because Y is simple and transitive. Accordingly, there exists embeddings φ_1, φ_2 of Y into R such that $Y = \{(y^{\varphi_1}, y^{\varphi_2}) \mid y \in Y\}$. As $Y \cong H \cong T$ is nonabelian simple and R/T is solvable, one sees steadily that φ_1, φ_2 are in fact isomorphisms from Y to T . Taking an arbitrary point $\delta \in \Delta$, since Y is transitive on Δ^2 , we have

$$T = (Y^{\varphi_1} \cap T_\delta)^{\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2} T_\delta = T_\delta^{\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2} T_\delta.$$

Then applying [2, Theorem 1.1] we obtain $T = A_6$, whence $A_6 \times A_6 \lesssim G \lesssim S_6 \wr S_2$. Moreover, $H \cong A_6$ and $G = HK$ for some core-free subgroup K of G . Searching in MAGMA [3] for such quasiprimitive permutation groups G shows that K must be maximal in G of index 36 or 360. As a consequence, G is primitive on Ω . If K has index 36 in G , then G is a primitive permutation group of degree 36 with socle $A_6 \times A_6$, and consulting [6, Appdendix B] we conclude that part (c) of Theorem 1.3 holds. If K has index 360 in G , then H is regular on Ω , which leads to part (b) of Theorem 1.3 by [13]. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author acknowledges the support of China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Grant.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. W. Baddeley and C. E. Praeger, On primitive overgroups of quasiprimitive permutation groups, *J. Algebra*, 263 (2003), no. 2, 294–344.
- [2] B. Baumeister, Factorizations of primitive permutation groups, *J. Algebra*, 194 (1997), no. 2, 631–653.
- [3] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24 (1997), no. 3–4, 235–265.
- [4] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups: maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [5] M. R. Darafsheh, Finite groups which factor as product of an alternating group and a symmetric group, *Comm. Algebra*, 32 (2004), no. 2, 637–647.
- [6] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 163. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [7] M. Giudici, Factorisations of sporadic simple groups, *J. Algebra*, 304 (2006), no. 1, 311–323.
- [8] R. M. Guralnick, Subgroups of prime power index in a simple group, *J. Algebra*, 81 (1983), no. 2, 304–311.
- [9] C. Hering, M. W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, The factorizations of the finite exceptional groups of lie type, *J. Algebra*, 106 (1987), no. 2, 517–527.
- [10] W. M. Kantor, k -homogeneous groups, *Math. Z.*, 124 (1972), 261–265.
- [11] P. B. Kleidman and M. W. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [12] M. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, The maximal factorizations of the finite simple groups and their automorphism groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 86 (1990), no. 432.
- [13] M. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, Regular subgroups of primitive permutation groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 203 (2010), no. 952.
- [14] C. E. Praeger, An O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite quasiprimitive permutation groups and an application to 2-arc transitive graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 47 (1993), no. 2, 227–239.
- [15] C. E. Praeger, Finite quasiprimitive graphs, *Surveys in combinatorics, 1997 (London)*, 65–85, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 241, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: binzhouxia@pku.edu.cn